

# Quantum numbers and Angular Momentum Algebra

Morten Hjorth-Jensen

National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

Jun 27, 2017

## Quantum numbers

### Outline.

- Discussion of single-particle and two-particle quantum numbers, uncoupled and coupled schemes
- Discussion of angular momentum recouplings and the Wigner-Eckart theorem
- Applications to specific operators like the nuclear two-body tensor force

For quantum numbers, chapter 1 on angular momentum and chapter 5 of Suhonen and chapters 5, 12 and 13 of Alex Brown. For a discussion of isospin, see for example Alex Brown's lecture notes chapter 12, 13 and 19.

## Motivation

When solving the Hartree-Fock project using a nucleon-nucleon interaction in an uncoupled basis (m-scheme), we found a high level of degeneracy. One sees clear from the table here that we have a degeneracy in the angular momentum  $j$ , resulting in  $2j + 1$  states with the same energy. This reflects the rotational symmetry and spin symmetry of the nuclear forces.

Quantum numbers	Energy [MeV]
$0s_{1/2}^{\pi}$	-40.4602
$0s_{1/2}^{\pi}$	-40.4602
$0s_{1/2}^{\nu}$	-40.6426
$0s_{1/2}^{\nu}$	-40.6426
$0p_{1/2}^{\pi}$	-6.7133
$0p_{1/2}^{\pi}$	-6.7133
$0p_{1/2}^{\nu}$	-6.8403
$0p_{1/2}^{\nu}$	-6.8403
$0p_{3/2}^{\pi}$	-11.5886
$0p_{3/2}^{\pi}$	-11.5886
$0p_{3/2}^{\pi}$	-11.5886
$0p_{3/2}^{\pi}$	-11.5886
$0p_{3/2}^{\nu}$	-11.7201
$0p_{3/2}^{\nu}$	-11.7201
$0p_{3/2}^{\nu}$	-11.7201
$0p_{3/2}^{\nu}$	-11.7201

We observe that with increasing value of  $j$  the degeneracy increases. For  $j = 3/2$  we end up diagonalizing the same matrix four times. With increasing value of  $j$ , it is rather obvious that our insistence on using an uncoupled scheme (or just m-scheme) will lead to unnecessary labor from our side (or more precisely, for the computer). The obvious question we should pose ourselves then is whether we can use the underlying symmetries of the nuclear forces in order to reduce our efforts.

## Single-particle and two-particle quantum numbers

In order to understand the basics of the nucleon-nucleon interaction and the pertaining symmetries, we need to define the relevant quantum numbers and how we build up a single-particle state and a two-body state, and obviously our final holy grail, a many-body state.

- For the single-particle states, due to the fact that we have the spin-orbit force, the quantum numbers for the projection of orbital momentum  $l$ , that is  $m_l$ , and for spin  $s$ , that is  $m_s$ , are no longer so-called good quantum numbers. The total angular momentum  $j$  and its projection  $m_j$  are then so-called *good quantum numbers*.
- This means that the operator  $\hat{J}^2$  does not commute with  $\hat{L}_z$  or  $\hat{S}_z$ .
- We also start normally with single-particle state functions defined using say the harmonic oscillator. For these functions, we have no explicit dependence on  $j$ . How can we introduce single-particle wave functions which have  $j$  and its projection  $m_j$  as quantum numbers?

## Single-particle and two-particle quantum numbers, brief review on angular momenta etc

We have that the operators for the orbital momentum are given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_x &= -i\hbar\left(y\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - z\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) = yp_z - zp_y, \\ L_y &= -i\hbar\left(z\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) = zp_x - xp_z, \\ L_z &= -i\hbar\left(x\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) = xp_y - yp_x. \end{aligned}$$

## Single-particle and two-particle quantum numbers, brief review on angular momenta etc

Since we have a spin orbit force which is strong, it is easy to show that the total angular momentum operator

$$\hat{J} = \hat{L} + \hat{S}$$

does not commute with  $\hat{L}_z$  and  $\hat{S}_z$ . To see this, we calculate for example

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{L}_z, \hat{J}^2] &= [\hat{L}_z, (\hat{L} + \hat{S})^2] \\ &= [\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}^2 + \hat{S}^2 + 2\hat{L}\hat{S}] \\ &= [\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}\hat{S}] = [\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_x\hat{S}_x + \hat{L}_y\hat{S}_y + \hat{L}_z\hat{S}_z] \neq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

since we have that  $[\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_x] = i\hbar\hat{L}_y$  and  $[\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_y] = i\hbar\hat{L}_x$ .

## Single-particle and two-particle quantum numbers, brief review on angular momenta etc

We have also

$$|\hat{J}| = \hbar\sqrt{J(J+1)},$$

with the the following degeneracy

$$M_J = -J, -J+1, \dots, J-1, J.$$

With a given value of  $L$  and  $S$  we can then determine the possible values of  $J$  by studying the  $z$  component of  $\hat{J}$ . It is given by

$$\hat{J}_z = \hat{L}_z + \hat{S}_z.$$

The operators  $\hat{L}_z$  and  $\hat{S}_z$  have the quantum numbers  $L_z = M_L\hbar$  and  $S_z = M_S\hbar$ , respectively, meaning that

$$M_J\hbar = M_L\hbar + M_S\hbar,$$

or

$$M_J = M_L + M_S.$$

Since the max value of  $M_L$  is  $L$  and for  $M_S$  is  $S$  we obtain

$$(M_J)_{\text{maks}} = L + S.$$

### Single-particle and two-particle quantum numbers, brief review on angular momenta etc

For nucleons we have that the maximum value of  $M_S = m_s = 1/2$ , yielding

$$(m_j)_{\max} = l + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Using this and the fact that the maximum value of  $M_J = m_j$  is  $j$  we have

$$j = l + \frac{1}{2}, l - \frac{1}{2}, l - \frac{3}{2}, l - \frac{5}{2}, \dots$$

To decide where this series terminates, we use the vector inequality

$$|\hat{L} + \hat{S}| \geq \left| |\hat{L}| - |\hat{S}| \right|.$$

### Single-particle and two-particle quantum numbers, brief review on angular momenta etc

Using  $\hat{J} = \hat{L} + \hat{S}$  we get

$$|\hat{J}| \geq \left| |\hat{L}| - |\hat{S}| \right|,$$

or

$$|\hat{J}| = \hbar\sqrt{J(J+1)} \geq \left| \hbar\sqrt{L(L+1)} - \hbar\sqrt{S(S+1)} \right|.$$

### Single-particle and two-particle quantum numbers, brief review on angular momenta etc

If we limit ourselves to nucleons only with  $s = 1/2$  we find that

$$|\hat{J}| = \hbar\sqrt{j(j+1)} \geq \left| \hbar\sqrt{l(l+1)} - \hbar\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+1\right)} \right|.$$

It is then easy to show that for nucleons there are only two possible values of  $j$  which satisfy the inequality, namely

$$j = l + \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } j = l - \frac{1}{2},$$

and with  $l = 0$  we get

$$j = \frac{1}{2}.$$

### Single-particle and two-particle quantum numbers, brief review on angular momenta etc

Let us study some selected examples. We need also to keep in mind that parity is conserved. The strong and electromagnetic Hamiltonians conserve parity. Thus the eigenstates can be broken down into two classes of states labeled by

their parity  $\pi = +1$  or  $\pi = -1$ . The nuclear interactions do not mix states with different parity.

For nuclear structure the total parity originates from the intrinsic parity of the nucleon which is  $\pi_{\text{intrinsic}} = +1$  and the parities associated with the orbital angular momenta  $\pi_l = (-1)^l$ . The total parity is the product over all nucleons  $\pi = \prod_i \pi_{\text{intrinsic}}(i) \pi_l(i) = \prod_i (-1)^{l_i}$

The basis states we deal with are constructed so that they conserve parity and have thus a definite parity.

Note that we do have parity violating processes, more on this later although our focus will be mainly on non-parity violating processes

### Single-particle and two-particle quantum numbers

Consider now the single-particle orbits of the  $1s0d$  shell. For a  $0d$  state we have the quantum numbers  $l = 2$ ,  $m_l = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2$ ,  $s = 1/2$ ,  $m_s = \pm 1/2$ ,  $n = 0$  (the number of nodes of the wave function). This means that we have positive parity and

$$j = \frac{3}{2} = l - s \quad m_j = -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}.$$

and

$$j = \frac{5}{2} = l + s \quad m_j = -\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}.$$

### Single-particle and two-particle quantum numbers

Our single-particle wave functions, if we use the harmonic oscillator, do however not contain the quantum numbers  $j$  and  $m_j$ . Normally what we have is an eigenfunction for the one-body problem defined as

$$\phi_{nlm_l s m_s}(r, \theta, \phi) = R_{nl}(r) Y_{lm_l}(\theta, \phi) \xi_{s m_s},$$

where we have used spherical coordinates (with a spherically symmetric potential) and the spherical harmonics

$$Y_{lm_l}(\theta, \phi) = P(\theta) F(\phi) = \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)(l-m_l)!}{4\pi(l+m_l)!}} P_l^{m_l}(\cos(\theta)) \exp(im_l\phi),$$

with  $P_l^{m_l}$  being the so-called associated Legendre polynomials.

### Single-particle and two-particle quantum numbers

Examples are

$$Y_{00} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi}},$$

for  $l = m_l = 0$ ,

$$Y_{10} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \cos(\theta),$$

for  $l = 1$  and  $m_l = 0$ ,

$$Y_{1\pm 1} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin(\theta) \exp(\pm i\phi),$$

for  $l = 1$  and  $m_l = \pm 1$ ,

$$Y_{20} = \sqrt{\frac{5}{16\pi}} (3\cos^2(\theta) - 1)$$

for  $l = 2$  and  $m_l = 0$  etc.

### Single-particle and two-particle quantum numbers

How can we get a function in terms of  $j$  and  $m_j$ ? Define now

$$\phi_{nlm_lsm_s}(r, \theta, \phi) = R_{nl}(r)Y_{lm_l}(\theta, \phi)\xi_{sm_s},$$

and

$$\psi_{njm_j;lm_lsm_s}(r, \theta, \phi),$$

as the state with quantum numbers  $jm_j$ . Operating with

$$\hat{j}^2 = (\hat{l} + \hat{s})^2 = \hat{l}^2 + \hat{s}^2 + 2\hat{l}_z\hat{s}_z + \hat{l}_+\hat{s}_- + \hat{l}_-\hat{s}_+,$$

on the latter state we will obtain admixtures from possible  $\phi_{nlm_lsm_s}(r, \theta, \phi)$  states.

### Single-particle and two-particle quantum numbers

To see this, we consider the following example and fix

$$j = \frac{3}{2} = l - s \quad m_j = \frac{3}{2}.$$

and

$$j = \frac{5}{2} = l + s \quad m_j = \frac{3}{2}.$$

It means we can have, with  $l = 2$  and  $s = 1/2$  being fixed, in order to have  $m_j = 3/2$  either  $m_l = 1$  and  $m_s = 1/2$  or  $m_l = 2$  and  $m_s = -1/2$ . The two states

$$\psi_{n=0j=5/2m_j=3/2;l=2s=1/2}$$

and

$$\psi_{n=0j=3/2m_j=3/2;l=2s=1/2}$$

will have admixtures from  $\phi_{n=0l=2m_l=2s=1/2m_s=-1/2}$  and  $\phi_{n=0l=2m_l=1s=1/2m_s=1/2}$ . How do we find these admixtures? Note that we don't specify the values of  $m_l$  and  $m_s$  in the functions  $\psi$  since  $\hat{j}^2$  does not commute with  $\hat{L}_z$  and  $\hat{S}_z$ .

## Single-particle and two-particle quantum numbers

We operate with

$$\hat{j}^2 = (\hat{l} + \hat{s})^2 = \hat{l}^2 + \hat{s}^2 + 2\hat{l}_z\hat{s}_z + \hat{l}_+\hat{s}_- + \hat{l}_-\hat{s}_+$$

on the two  $jm_j$  states, that is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{j}^2\psi_{n=0j=5/2m_j=3/2;l=2s=1/2} &= \alpha\hbar^2[l(l+1) + \frac{3}{4} + 2m_l m_s]\phi_{n=0l=2m_l=2s=1/2m_s=-1/2} + \\ &\beta\hbar^2\sqrt{l(l+1) - m_l(m_l - 1)}\phi_{n=0l=2m_l=1s=1/2m_s=1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{j}^2\psi_{n=0j=3/2m_j=3/2;l=2s=1/2} &= \alpha\hbar^2[l(l+1) + \frac{3}{4} + 2m_l m_s]\phi_{n=0l=2m_l=1s=1/2m_s=1/2} + \\ &\beta\hbar^2\sqrt{l(l+1) - m_l(m_l + 1)}\phi_{n=0l=2m_l=2s=1/2m_s=-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

## Single-particle and two-particle quantum numbers

This means that the eigenvectors  $\phi_{n=0l=2m_l=2s=1/2m_s=-1/2}$  etc are not eigenvectors of  $\hat{j}^2$ . The above problems gives a  $2 \times 2$  matrix that mixes the vectors  $\psi_{n=0j=5/2m_j=3/2;l=2m_l=1/2m_s}$  and  $\psi_{n=0j=3/2m_j=3/2;l=2m_l=1/2m_s}$  with the states  $\phi_{n=0l=2m_l=2s=1/2m_s=-1/2}$  and  $\phi_{n=0l=2m_l=1s=1/2m_s=1/2}$ . The unknown coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the eigenvectors of this matrix. That is, inserting all values  $m_l, l, m_s, s$  we obtain the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 19/4 & 2 \\ 2 & 31/4 \end{bmatrix}$$

whose eigenvectors are the columns of

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2/\sqrt{5} & 1/\sqrt{5} \\ 1/\sqrt{5} & -2/\sqrt{5} \end{bmatrix}$$

These numbers define the so-called Clebsch-Gordan coupling coefficients (the overlaps between the two basis sets). We can thus write

$$\psi_{njm_j;ls} = \sum_{m_l m_s} \langle lm_l sm_s | jm_j \rangle \phi_{nlm_l sm_s},$$

where the coefficients  $\langle lm_l sm_s | jm_j \rangle$  are the so-called Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.

## Clebsch-Gordan coefficients

The Clebsch-Gordan coefficients  $\langle l m_l m_s | j m_j \rangle$  have some interesting properties for us, like the following orthogonality relations

$$\sum_{m_1 m_2} \langle j_1 m_1 j_2 m_2 | J M \rangle \langle j_1 m_1 j_2 m_2 | J' M' \rangle = \delta_{J, J'} \delta_{M, M'},$$

$$\sum_{J M} \langle j_1 m_1 j_2 m_2 | J M \rangle \langle j_1 m'_1 j_2 m'_2 | J M \rangle = \delta_{m_1, m'_1} \delta_{m_2, m'_2},$$

$$\langle j_1 m_1 j_2 m_2 | J M \rangle = (-1)^{j_1 + j_2 - J} \langle j_2 m_2 j_1 m_1 | J M \rangle,$$

and many others. The latter will turn extremely useful when we are going to define two-body states and interactions in a coupled basis.

## Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, testing the orthogonality relations

The orthogonality relation can be tested using the symbolic python package **wigner**. Let us test

$$\sum_{m_1 m_2} \langle j_1 m_1 j_2 m_2 | J M \rangle \langle j_1 m_1 j_2 m_2 | J' M' \rangle = \delta_{J, J'} \delta_{M, M'},$$

The following program tests this relation for the case of  $j_1 = 3/2$  and  $j_2 = 3/2$  meaning that  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  run from  $-3/2$  to  $3/2$ .

```

from sympy import S
from sympy.physics.wigner import clebsch_gordan
# Twice the values of j1 and j2
j1 = 3
j2 = 3
J = 2
Jp = 2
M = 2
Mp = 3
sum = 0.0
for m1 in range(-j1, j1+2, 2):
    for m2 in range(-j2, j2+2, 2):
        M = (m1+m2)/2.
        """ Call j1, j2, J, m1, m2, m1+m2 """
        sum += clebsch_gordan(S(j1)/2, S(j2)/2, J, S(m1)/2, S(m2)/2, M)*clebsch_gordan(S(j1)/2, S
print sum

```

## Quantum numbers and the Schrodinger equation in relative and CM coordinates

Summing up, for for the single-particle case, we have the following eigenfunctions

$$\psi_{n j m_j; l s} = \sum_{m_l m_s} \langle l m_l m_s | j m_j \rangle \phi_{n l m_l m_s},$$



where the coefficients  $\langle lm_l sm_s | jm_j \rangle$  are the so-called Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. The relevant quantum numbers are  $n$  (related to the principal quantum number and the number of nodes of the wave function) and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{j}^2 \psi_{njm_j;ls} &= \hbar^2 j(j+1) \psi_{njm_j;ls}, \\ \hat{j}_z \psi_{njm_j;ls} &= \hbar m_j \psi_{njm_j;ls}, \\ \hat{l}^2 \psi_{njm_j;ls} &= \hbar^2 l(l+1) \psi_{njm_j;ls}, \\ \hat{s}^2 \psi_{njm_j;ls} &= \hbar^2 s(s+1) \psi_{njm_j;ls},\end{aligned}$$

but  $s_z$  and  $l_z$  do not result in good quantum numbers in a basis where we use the angular momentum  $j$ .

## Quantum numbers and the Schroedinger equation in relative and CM coordinates

For a two-body state where we couple two angular momenta  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  to a final angular momentum  $J$  with projection  $M_J$ , we can define a similar transformation in terms of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients

$$\psi_{(j_1 j_2) JM_J} = \sum_{m_{j_1} m_{j_2}} \langle j_1 m_{j_1} j_2 m_{j_2} | JM_J \rangle \psi_{n_1 j_1 m_{j_1}; l_1 s_1} \psi_{n_2 j_2 m_{j_2}; l_2 s_2}.$$

We will write these functions in a more compact form hereafter, namely,

$$|(j_1 j_2) JM_J\rangle = \psi_{(j_1 j_2) JM_J},$$

and

$$|j_i m_{j_i}\rangle = \psi_{n_i j_i m_{j_i}; l_i s_i},$$

where we have skipped the explicit reference to  $l$ ,  $s$  and  $n$ . The spin of a nucleon is always  $1/2$  while the value of  $l$  can be deduced from the parity of the state. It is thus normal to label a state with a given total angular momentum as  $j^\pi$ , where  $\pi = \pm 1$ .

## Quantum numbers and the Schroedinger equation in relative and CM coordinates

Our two-body state can thus be written as

$$|(j_1 j_2) JM_J\rangle = \sum_{m_{j_1} m_{j_2}} \langle j_1 m_{j_1} j_2 m_{j_2} | JM_J \rangle |j_1 m_{j_1}\rangle |j_2 m_{j_2}\rangle.$$

Due to the coupling order of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient it reads as  $j_1$  coupled to  $j_2$  to yield a final angular momentum  $J$ . If we invert the order of coupling we would have

$$|(j_2 j_1) JM_J\rangle = \sum_{m_{j_1} m_{j_2}} \langle j_2 m_{j_2} j_1 m_{j_1} | JM_J \rangle |j_1 m_{j_1}\rangle |j_2 m_{j_2}\rangle,$$

and due to the symmetry properties of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient we have

$$|(j_2 j_1) JM_J\rangle = (-1)^{j_1+j_2-J} \sum_{m_{j_1} m_{j_2}} \langle j_1 m_{j_1} j_2 m_{j_2} | JM_J \rangle |j_1 m_{j_1}\rangle |j_2 m_{j_2}\rangle = (-1)^{j_1+j_2-J} |(j_1 j_2) JM_J\rangle.$$

We call the basis  $|(j_1 j_2) JM_J\rangle$  for the **coupled basis**, or just  $j$ -coupled basis/scheme. The basis formed by the simple product of single-particle eigenstates  $|j_1 m_{j_1}\rangle |j_2 m_{j_2}\rangle$  is called the **uncoupled-basis**, or just the  $m$ -scheme basis.

## Quantum numbers

We have thus the coupled basis

$$|(j_1 j_2) JM_J\rangle = \sum_{m_{j_1} m_{j_2}} \langle j_1 m_{j_1} j_2 m_{j_2} | JM_J \rangle |j_1 m_{j_1}\rangle |j_2 m_{j_2}\rangle.$$

and the uncoupled basis

$$|j_1 m_{j_1}\rangle |j_2 m_{j_2}\rangle.$$

The latter can easily be generalized to many single-particle states whereas the first needs specific coupling coefficients and definitions of coupling orders. The  $m$ -scheme basis is easy to implement numerically and is used in most standard shell-model codes. Our coupled basis obeys also the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{J}^2 |(j_1 j_2) JM_J\rangle &= \hbar^2 J(J+1) |(j_1 j_2) JM_J\rangle \\ \hat{J}_z |(j_1 j_2) JM_J\rangle &= \hbar M_J |(j_1 j_2) JM_J\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

## Components of the force and isospin

The nuclear forces are almost charge independent. If we assume they are, we can introduce a new quantum number which is conserved. For nucleons only, that is a proton and neutron, we can limit ourselves to two possible values which allow us to distinguish between the two particles. If we assign an isospin value of  $\tau = 1/2$  for protons and neutrons (they belong to an isospin doublet, in the same way as we discussed the spin  $1/2$  multiplet), we can define the neutron to have isospin projection  $\tau_z = +1/2$  and a proton to have  $\tau_z = -1/2$ . These assignments are the standard choices in low-energy nuclear physics.

## Isospin

This leads to the introduction of an additional quantum number called isospin. We can define a single-nucleon state function in terms of the quantum numbers  $n, j, m_j, l, s, \tau$  and  $\tau_z$ . Using our definitions in terms of an uncoupled basis, we had

$$\psi_{n j m_j; l s} = \sum_{m_l m_s} \langle l m_l s m_s | j m_j \rangle \phi_{n l m_l s},$$

which we can now extend to

$$\psi_{njm_j;ls}\xi_{\tau\tau_z} = \sum_{m_l m_s} \langle lm_l sm_s | jm_j \rangle \phi_{nlm_l sm_s} \xi_{\tau\tau_z},$$

with the isospin spinors defined as

$$\xi_{\tau=1/2\tau_z=+1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\xi_{\tau=1/2\tau_z=-1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can then define the proton state function as

$$\psi^p(\mathbf{r}) = \psi_{njm_j;ls}(\mathbf{r}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and similarly for neutrons as

$$\psi^n(\mathbf{r}) = \psi_{njm_j;ls}(\mathbf{r}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

## Isospin

We can in turn define the isospin Pauli matrices (in the same as we define the spin matrices) as

$$\hat{\tau}_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\hat{\tau}_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\hat{\tau}_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and operating with  $\hat{\tau}_z$  on the proton state function we have

$$\hat{\tau}_z \psi^p(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{2} \psi^p(\mathbf{r}),$$

and for neutrons we have

$$\hat{\tau}_z \psi^n(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \psi^n(\mathbf{r}).$$

## Isospin

We can now define the so-called charge operator as

$$\frac{\hat{Q}}{e} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \hat{\tau}_z) = \begin{Bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{Bmatrix},$$

which results in

$$\frac{\hat{Q}}{e} \psi^p(\mathbf{r}) = \psi^p(\mathbf{r}),$$

and

$$\frac{\hat{Q}}{e} \psi^n(\mathbf{r}) = 0,$$

as it should be.

## Isospin

The total isospin is defined as

$$\hat{T} = \sum_{i=1}^A \hat{\tau}_i,$$

and its corresponding isospin projection as

$$\hat{T}_z = \sum_{i=1}^A \hat{\tau}_{z_i},$$

with eigenvalues  $T(T+1)$  for  $\hat{T}$  and  $1/2(N-Z)$  for  $\hat{T}_z$ , where  $N$  is the number of neutrons and  $Z$  the number of protons.

If charge is conserved, the Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}$  commutes with  $\hat{T}_z$  and all members of a given isospin multiplet (that is the same value of  $T$ ) have the same energy and there is no  $T_z$  dependence and we say that  $\hat{H}$  is a scalar in isospin space.

## Angular momentum algebra, Examples

We have till now seen the following definitions of a two-body matrix elements with quantum numbers  $p = j_p m_p$  etc we have a two-body state defined as

$$|(pq)M\rangle = a_p^\dagger a_q^\dagger |\Phi_0\rangle,$$

where  $|\Phi_0\rangle$  is a chosen reference state, say for example the Slater determinant which approximates  $^{16}\text{O}$  with the  $0s$  and the  $0p$  shells being filled, and  $M = m_p + m_q$ . Recall that we label single-particle states above the Fermi level as  $abcd\dots$  and states below the Fermi level for  $ijkl\dots$ . In case of two-particles in the single-particle states  $a$  and  $b$  outside  $^{16}\text{O}$  as a closed shell core, say  $^{18}\text{O}$ , we would write the representation of the Slater determinant as

$$|^{18}\text{O}\rangle = |(ab)M\rangle = a_a^\dagger a_b^\dagger |^{16}\text{O}\rangle = |\Phi^{ab}\rangle.$$

In case of two-particles removed from say  $^{16}\text{O}$ , for example two neutrons in the single-particle states  $i$  and  $j$ , we would write this as

$$|^{14}\text{O}\rangle = |(ij)M\rangle = a_j a_i |^{16}\text{O}\rangle = |\Phi_{ij}\rangle.$$

### Angular momentum algebra and many-body states

For a one-hole-one-particle state we have

$$|^{16}\text{O}\rangle_{1p1h} = |(ai)M\rangle = a_a^\dagger a_i |^{16}\text{O}\rangle = |\Phi_i^a\rangle,$$

and finally for a two-particle-two-hole state we

$$|^{16}\text{O}\rangle_{2p2h} = |(abij)M\rangle = a_a^\dagger a_b^\dagger a_j a_i |^{16}\text{O}\rangle = |\Phi_{ij}^{ab}\rangle.$$

### Angular momentum algebra, two-body state and anti-symmetrized matrix elements

Let us go back to the case of two-particles in the single-particle states  $a$  and  $b$  outside  $^{16}\text{O}$  as a closed shell core, say  $^{18}\text{O}$ . The representation of the Slater determinant is

$$|^{18}\text{O}\rangle = |(ab)M\rangle = a_a^\dagger a_b^\dagger |^{16}\text{O}\rangle = |\Phi^{ab}\rangle.$$

The anti-symmetrized matrix element is detailed as

$$\langle (ab)M | \hat{V} | (cd)M \rangle = \langle (j_a m_a j_b m_b)M = m_a + m_b | \hat{V} | (j_c m_c j_d m_d)M = m_a + m_b \rangle,$$

and note that anti-symmetrization means

$$\langle (ab)M | \hat{V} | (cd)M \rangle = -\langle (ba)M | \hat{V} | (cd)M \rangle = \langle (ba)M | \hat{V} | (dc)M \rangle,$$

$$\langle (ab)M | \hat{V} | (cd)M \rangle = -\langle (ab)M | \hat{V} | (dc)M \rangle.$$

### Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem, Examples

This matrix element is given by

$$\langle ^{16}\text{O} | a_b a_a \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle (pq)M | \hat{V} | (rs)M' \rangle a_p^\dagger a_q^\dagger a_s a_r a_c^\dagger a_d^\dagger | ^{16}\text{O} \rangle.$$

We can compute this matrix element using Wick's theorem.

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem, Examples

We have also defined matrix elements in the coupled basis, the so-called  $J$ -coupled scheme. In this case the two-body wave function for two neutrons outside  $^{16}\text{O}$  is written as

$$|^{18}\text{O}\rangle_J = |(ab)JM\rangle = \left\{ a_a^\dagger a_b^\dagger \right\}_M^J |^{16}\text{O}\rangle = N_{ab} \sum_{m_a m_b} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle |\Phi^{ab}\rangle,$$

with

$$|\Phi^{ab}\rangle = a_a^\dagger a_b^\dagger |^{16}\text{O}\rangle.$$

We have now an explicit coupling order, where the angular momentum  $j_a$  is coupled to the angular momentum  $j_b$  to yield a final two-body angular momentum  $J$ . The normalization factor is

$$N_{ab} = \frac{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{ab} \times (-1)^J}}{1 + \delta_{ab}}.$$

## Angular momentum algebra

We note that, using the anti-commuting properties of the creation operators, we obtain

$$N_{ab} \sum_{m_a m_b} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle |\Phi^{ab}\rangle = -N_{ab} \sum_{m_a m_b} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle |\Phi^{ba}\rangle.$$

Furthermore, using the property of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient

$$\langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle = (-1)^{j_a + j_b - J} \langle j_b m_b j_a m_a | JM \rangle,$$

which can be used to show that

$$|(j_b j_a)JM\rangle = \left\{ a_b^\dagger a_a^\dagger \right\}_M^J |^{16}\text{O}\rangle = N_{ab} \sum_{m_a m_b} \langle j_b m_b j_a m_a | JM \rangle |\Phi^{ba}\rangle,$$

is equal to

$$|(j_b j_a)JM\rangle = (-1)^{j_a + j_b - J + 1} |(j_a j_b)JM\rangle.$$

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem, Examples

The implementation of the Pauli principle looks different in the  $J$ -scheme compared with the  $m$ -scheme. In the latter, no two fermions or more can have the same set of quantum numbers. In the  $J$ -scheme, when we write a state with the shorthand

$$|^{18}\text{O}\rangle_J = |(ab)JM\rangle,$$

we do refer to the angular momenta only. This means that another way of writing the last state is

$$|^{18}\text{O}\rangle_J = |(j_a j_b)JM\rangle.$$

We will use this notation throughout when we refer to a two-body state in  $J$ -scheme. The Kronecker  $\delta$  function in the normalization factor refers thus to the values of  $j_a$  and  $j_b$ . If two identical particles are in a state with the same  $j$ -value, then only even values of the total angular momentum apply. In the notation below, when we label a state as  $j_p$  it will actually represent all quantum numbers except  $m_p$ .

### Angular momentum algebra, two-body matrix elements

The two-body matrix element is a scalar and since it obeys rotational symmetry, it is diagonal in  $J$ , meaning that the corresponding matrix element in  $J$ -scheme is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (j_a j_b)JM | \hat{V} | (j_c j_d)JM \rangle &= N_{ab} N_{cd} \sum_{m_a m_b m_c m_d} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle \\ &\times \langle j_c m_c j_d m_d | JM \rangle \langle (j_a m_a j_b m_b)M | \hat{V} | (j_c m_c j_d m_d)M \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and note that of the four  $m$ -values in the above sum, only three are independent due to the constraint  $m_a + m_b = M = m_c + m_d$ .

### Angular momentum algebra, two-body matrix element

Since

$$|(j_b j_a)JM\rangle = (-1)^{j_a + j_b - J + 1} |(j_a j_b)JM\rangle,$$

the anti-symmetrized matrix elements need now to obey the following relations

$$\langle (j_a j_b)JM | \hat{V} | (j_c j_d)JM \rangle = (-1)^{j_a + j_b - J + 1} \langle (j_b j_a)JM | \hat{V} | (j_c j_d)JM \rangle,$$

$$\langle (j_a j_b)JM | \hat{V} | (j_c j_d)JM \rangle = (-1)^{j_c + j_d - J + 1} \langle (j_a j_b)JM | \hat{V} | (j_d j_c)JM \rangle,$$

$$\langle (j_a j_b)JM | \hat{V} | (j_c j_d)JM \rangle = (-1)^{j_a + j_b + j_c + j_d} \langle (j_b j_a)JM | \hat{V} | (j_d j_c)JM \rangle = \langle (j_b j_a)JM | \hat{V} | (j_d j_c)JM \rangle,$$

where the last relations follows from the fact that  $J$  is an integer and  $2J$  is always an even number.

### Angular momentum algebra, two-body matrix element

Using the orthogonality properties of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients,

$$\sum_{m_a m_b} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | J' M' \rangle = \delta_{JJ'} \delta_{MM'},$$

and

$$\sum_{JM} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle \langle j_a m'_a j_b m'_b | JM \rangle = \delta_{m_a m'_a} \delta_{m_b m'_b},$$

we can also express the two-body matrix element in  $m$ -scheme in terms of that in  $J$ -scheme, that is, if we multiply with

$$\sum_{JM J' M'} \langle j_a m'_a j_b m'_b | JM \rangle \langle j_c m'_c j_d m'_d | J' M' \rangle$$

from left in

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (j_a j_b) JM | \hat{V} | (j_c j_d) JM \rangle &= N_{ab} N_{cd} \sum_{m_a m_b m_c m_d} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle \langle j_c m_c j_d m_d | JM \rangle \\ &\times \langle (j_a m_a j_b m_b) M | \hat{V} | (j_c m_c j_d m_d) M \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (j_a m_a j_b m_b) M | \hat{V} | (j_c m_c j_d m_d) M \rangle &= \frac{1}{N_{ab} N_{cd}} \sum_{JM} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle \langle j_c m_c j_d m_d | JM \rangle \\ &\times \langle (j_a j_b) JM | \hat{V} | (j_c j_d) JM \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

## The Hartree-Fock potential

We can now use the above relations to compute the Hartree-Fock energy in  $j$ -scheme. In  $m$ -scheme we defined the Hartree-Fock energy as

$$\varepsilon_{pq}^{\text{HF}} = \delta_{pq} \varepsilon_p + \sum_{i \leq F} \langle pi | \hat{V} | qi \rangle_{AS},$$

where the single-particle states  $pqi$  point to the quantum numbers in  $m$ -scheme. For a state with for example  $j = 5/2$ , this results in six identical values for the above potential. We would obviously like to reduce this to one only by rewriting our equations in  $j$ -scheme.

Our Hartree-Fock basis is orthogonal by definition, meaning that we have

$$\varepsilon_p^{\text{HF}} = \varepsilon_p + \sum_{i \leq F} \langle pi | \hat{V} | pi \rangle_{AS},$$

## The Hartree-Fock potential

We have

$$\varepsilon_p^{\text{HF}} = \varepsilon_p + \sum_{i \leq F} \langle pi | \hat{V} | pi \rangle_{AS},$$

where the single-particle states  $p = [n_p, j_p, m_p, t_{z_p}]$ . Let us assume that  $p$  is a state above the Fermi level. The quantity  $\varepsilon_p$  could represent the harmonic oscillator single-particle energies.

Let  $p \rightarrow a$ .

The energies, as we have seen, are independent of  $m_a$  and  $m_i$ . We sum now over all  $m_a$  on both sides of the above equation and divide by  $2j_a + 1$ , recalling that  $\sum_{m_a} = 2j_a + 1$ . This results in

$$\varepsilon_a^{\text{HF}} = \varepsilon_a + \frac{1}{2j_a + 1} \sum_{i \leq F} \sum_{m_a} \langle ai | \hat{V} | ai \rangle_{AS},$$



## The Hartree-Fock potential

We rewrite

$$\varepsilon_a^{\text{HF}} = \varepsilon_a + \frac{1}{2j_a + 1} \sum_{i \leq F} \sum_{m_a} \langle ai | \hat{V} | ai \rangle_{AS},$$

as

$$\varepsilon_a^{\text{HF}} = \varepsilon_a + \frac{1}{2j_a + 1} \sum_{n_i, j_i, t_{z_i} \leq F} \sum_{m_i m_a} \langle (j_a m_a j_i m_i) M | \hat{V} | (j_a m_a j_i m_i) M \rangle_{AS},$$

where we have suppressed the dependence on  $n_p$  and  $t_z$  in the matrix element. Using the definition

$$\langle (j_a m_a j_b m_b) M | \hat{V} | (j_c m_c j_d m_d) M \rangle = \frac{1}{N_{ab} N_{cd}} \sum_{JM} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle \langle j_c m_c j_d m_d | JM \rangle \langle (j_a j_b) J | \hat{V} | (j_c j_d) M \rangle_{AS},$$

with the orthogonality properties of Glebsch-Gordan coefficients and that the  $j$ -coupled two-body matrix element is a scalar and independent of  $M$  we arrive at

$$\varepsilon_a^{\text{HF}} = \varepsilon_a + \frac{1}{2j_a + 1} \sum_{j_i \leq F} \sum_J (2J + 1) \langle (j_a j_i) J | \hat{V} | (j_a j_i) M \rangle_{AS},$$

## First order in the potential energy

In a similar way it is easy to show that the potential energy contribution to the ground state energy in  $m$ -scheme

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij \leq F} \langle (j_i m_i j_j m_j) M | \hat{V} | (j_i m_i j_j m_j) M \rangle_{AS},$$

can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_i, j_j \leq F} \sum_J (2J + 1) \langle (j_i j_j) J | \hat{V} | (j_i j_j) J \rangle_{AS},$$

This reduces the number of floating point operations with an order of magnitude on average.

## Angular momentum algebra

We are now going to define two-body and many-body states in an angular momentum coupled basis, the so-called  $j$ -scheme basis. In this connection

- we need to define the so-called  $6j$  and  $9j$  symbols
- as well as the the Wigner-Eckart theorem

We will also study some specific examples, like the calculation of the tensor force.

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

We define an irreducible spherical tensor  $T_\mu^\lambda$  of rank  $\lambda$  as an operator with  $2\lambda + 1$  components  $\mu$  that satisfies the commutation relations ( $\hbar = 1$ )

$$[J_\pm, T_\mu^\lambda] = \sqrt{(\lambda \mp \mu)(\lambda \pm \mu + 1)} T_{\mu \pm 1}^\lambda,$$

and

$$[J_z, T_\mu^\lambda] = \mu T_\mu^\lambda.$$

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

Our angular momentum coupled two-body wave function obeys clearly this definition, namely

$$|(ab)JM\rangle = \left\{ a_a^\dagger a_b^\dagger \right\}_M^J |\Phi_0\rangle = N_{ab} \sum_{m_a m_b} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle |\Phi^{ab}\rangle,$$

is a tensor of rank  $J$  with  $M$  components. Another well-known example is given by the spherical harmonics (see examples during today's lecture).

The product of two irreducible tensor operators

$$T_{\mu_3}^{\lambda_3} = \sum_{\mu_1 \mu_2} \langle \lambda_1 \mu_1 \lambda_2 \mu_2 | \lambda_3 \mu_3 \rangle T_{\mu_1}^{\lambda_1} T_{\mu_2}^{\lambda_2}$$

is also a tensor operator of rank  $\lambda_3$ .

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

We wish to apply the above definitions to the computations of a matrix element

$$\langle \Phi_M^J | T_\mu^\lambda | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle,$$

where we have skipped a reference to specific single-particle states. This is the expectation value for two specific states, labelled by angular momenta  $J'$  and  $J$ . These states form an orthonormal basis. Using the properties of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients we can write

$$T_\mu^\lambda | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle = \sum_{J'' M''} \langle \lambda \mu J' M' | J'' M'' \rangle | \Psi_{M''}^{J''} \rangle,$$

and assuming that states with different  $J$  and  $M$  are orthonormal we arrive at

$$\langle \Phi_M^J | T_\mu^\lambda | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle = \langle \lambda \mu J' M' | JM \rangle \langle \Phi_M^J | \Psi_M^J \rangle.$$

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

We need to show that

$$\langle \Phi_M^J | \Psi_M^J \rangle,$$

is independent of  $M$ . To show that

$$\langle \Phi_M^J | \Psi_M^J \rangle,$$

is independent of  $M$ , we use the ladder operators for angular momentum.

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

We have that

$$\langle \Phi_{M+1}^J | \Psi_{M+1}^J \rangle = ((J - M)(J + M + 1))^{-1/2} \langle \hat{J}_+ \Phi_M^J | \Psi_{M+1}^J \rangle,$$

but this is also equal to

$$\langle \Phi_{M+1}^J | \Psi_{M+1}^J \rangle = ((J - M)(J + M + 1))^{-1/2} \langle \Phi_M^J | \hat{J}_- \Psi_{M+1}^J \rangle,$$

meaning that

$$\langle \Phi_{M+1}^J | \Psi_{M+1}^J \rangle = \langle \Phi_M^J | \Psi_M^J \rangle \equiv \langle \Phi_M^J || T^\lambda || \Phi_{M'}^J \rangle.$$

The double bars indicate that this expectation value is independent of the projection  $M$ .

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

The Wigner-Eckart theorem for an expectation value can then be written as

$$\langle \Phi_M^J | T_\mu^\lambda | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle \equiv \langle \lambda \mu J' M' | JM \rangle \langle \Phi^J || T^\lambda || \Phi^{J'} \rangle.$$

The double bars indicate that this expectation value is independent of the projection  $M$ . We can manipulate the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients using the relations

$$\langle \lambda \mu J' M' | JM \rangle = (-1)^{\lambda + J' - J} \langle J' M' \lambda \mu | JM \rangle$$

and

$$\langle J' M' \lambda \mu | JM \rangle = (-1)^{J' - M'} \frac{\sqrt{2J + 1}}{\sqrt{2\lambda + 1}} \langle J' M' J - M | \lambda - \mu \rangle,$$

together with the so-called  $3j$  symbols. It is then normal to encounter the Wigner-Eckart theorem in the form

$$\langle \Phi_M^J | T_\mu^\lambda | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle \equiv (-1)^{J - M} \begin{pmatrix} J & \lambda & J' \\ -M & \mu & M' \end{pmatrix} \langle \Phi^J || T^\lambda || \Phi^{J'} \rangle,$$

with the condition  $\mu + M' - M = 0$ .

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

The  $3j$  symbols obey the symmetry relation

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^p \begin{pmatrix} j_a & j_b & j_c \\ m_a & m_b & m_c \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $(-1)^p = 1$  when the columns  $a, b, c$  are even permutations of the columns  $1, 2, 3$ ,  $p = j_1 + j_2 + j_3$  when the columns  $a, b, c$  are odd permutations of the

columns 1, 2, 3 and  $p = j_1 + j_2 + j_3$  when all the magnetic quantum numbers  $m_i$  change sign. Their orthogonality is given by

$$\sum_{j_3 m_3} (2j_3 + 1) \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_{1'} & m_{2'} & m_3 \end{pmatrix} = \delta_{m_1 m_{1'}} \delta_{m_2 m_{2'}},$$

and

$$\sum_{m_1 m_2} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_{3'} \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_{3'} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{(2j_3 + 1)} \delta_{j_3 j_{3'}} \delta_{m_3 m_{3'}}.$$

### Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

For later use, the following special cases for the Clebsch-Gordan and  $3j$  symbols are rather useful

$$\langle JM J' M' | 00 \rangle = \frac{(-1)^{J-M}}{\sqrt{2J+1}} \delta_{JJ'} \delta_{MM'}.$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} J & 1 & J \\ -M & 0 & M' \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{J-M} \frac{M}{\sqrt{(2J+1)(J+1)}} \delta_{MM'}.$$

### Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

Using  $3j$  symbols we rewrote the Wigner-Eckart theorem as

$$\langle \Phi_M^J | T_\mu^\lambda | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle \equiv (-1)^{J-M} \begin{pmatrix} J & \lambda & J' \\ -M & \mu & M' \end{pmatrix} \langle \Phi^J || T^\lambda || \Phi^{J'} \rangle.$$

Multiplying from the left with the same  $3j$  symbol and summing over  $M, \mu, M'$  we obtain the equivalent relation

$$\langle \Phi^J || T^\lambda || \Phi^{J'} \rangle \equiv \sum_{M, \mu, M'} (-1)^{J-M} \begin{pmatrix} J & \lambda & J' \\ -M & \mu & M' \end{pmatrix} \langle \Phi_M^J | T_\mu^\lambda | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle,$$

where we used the orthogonality properties of the  $3j$  symbols from the previous page.

### Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

This relation can in turn be used to compute the expectation value of some simple reduced matrix elements like

$$\langle \Phi^J || \mathbf{1} || \Phi^{J'} \rangle = \sum_{M, M'} (-1)^{J-M} \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 & J' \\ -M & 0 & M' \end{pmatrix} \langle \Phi_M^J | 1 | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle = \sqrt{2J+1} \delta_{JJ'} \delta_{MM'},$$

where we used

$$\langle JM J' M' | 00 \rangle = \frac{(-1)^{J-M}}{\sqrt{2J+1}} \delta_{JJ'} \delta_{MM'}.$$

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

Similarly, using

$$\begin{pmatrix} J & 1 & J \\ -M & 0 & M' \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{J-M} \frac{M}{\sqrt{(2J+1)(J+1)}} \delta_{MM'},$$

we have that

$$\langle \Phi^J || \mathbf{J} || \Phi^J \rangle = \sum_{M, M'} (-1)^{J-M} \begin{pmatrix} J & 1 & J' \\ -M & 0 & M' \end{pmatrix} \langle \Phi_M^J | j_Z | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle = \sqrt{J(J+1)(2J+1)}$$

With the Pauli spin matrices  $\sigma$  and a state with  $J = 1/2$ , the reduced matrix element

$$\langle \frac{1}{2} || \sigma || \frac{1}{2} \rangle = \sqrt{6}.$$

Before we proceed with further examples, we need some other properties of the Wigner-Eckart theorem plus some additional angular momenta relations.

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

The Wigner-Eckart theorem states that the expectation value for an irreducible spherical tensor can be written as

$$\langle \Phi_M^J | T_\mu^\lambda | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle \equiv \langle \lambda \mu J' M' | J M \rangle \langle \Phi^J || T^\lambda || \Phi^{J'} \rangle.$$

Since the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients themselves are easy to evaluate, the interesting quantity is the reduced matrix element. Note also that the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients limit via the triangular relation among  $\lambda$ ,  $J$  and  $J'$  the possible non-zero values.

From the theorem we see also that

$$\langle \Phi_M^J | T_\mu^\lambda | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle = \frac{\langle \lambda \mu J' M' | J M \rangle}{\langle \lambda \mu_0 J' M'_0 | J M_0 \rangle} \langle \Phi_{M_0}^J | T_{\mu_0}^\lambda | \Phi_{M'_0}^{J'} \rangle,$$

meaning that if we know the matrix elements for say some  $\mu = \mu_0$ ,  $M' = M'_0$  and  $M = M_0$  we can calculate all other.

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

If we look at the hermitian adjoint of the operator  $T_\mu^\lambda$ , we see via the commutation relations that  $(T_\mu^\lambda)^\dagger$  is not an irreducible tensor, that is

$$[J_\pm, (T_\mu^\lambda)^\dagger] = -\sqrt{(\lambda \pm \mu)(\lambda \mp \mu + 1)} (T_{\mu \mp 1}^\lambda)^\dagger,$$

and

$$[J_z, (T_\mu^\lambda)^\dagger] = -\mu (T_\mu^\lambda)^\dagger.$$

The hermitian adjoint  $(T_\mu^\lambda)^\dagger$  is not an irreducible tensor. As an example, consider the spherical harmonics for  $l = 1$  and  $m_l = \pm 1$ . These functions are

$$Y_{m_l=1}^{l=1}(\theta, \phi) = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin(\theta) \exp i\phi,$$

and

$$Y_{m_l=-1}^{l=1}(\theta, \phi) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin(\theta) \exp -i\phi,$$

### Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

It is easy to see that the Hermitian adjoint of these two functions

$$[Y_{m_l=1}^{l=1}(\theta, \phi)]^\dagger = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin(\theta) \exp -i\phi,$$

and

$$[Y_{m_l=-1}^{l=1}(\theta, \phi)]^\dagger = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin(\theta) \exp i\phi,$$

do not behave as a spherical tensor. However, the modified quantity

$$\tilde{T}_\mu^\lambda = (-1)^{\lambda+\mu} (T_{-\mu}^\lambda)^\dagger,$$

does satisfy the above commutation relations.

### Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

With the modified quantity

$$\tilde{T}_\mu^\lambda = (-1)^{\lambda+\mu} (T_{-\mu}^\lambda)^\dagger,$$

we can then define the expectation value

$$\langle \Phi_M^J | T_\mu^\lambda | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle^\dagger = \langle \lambda \mu J' M' | JM \rangle \langle \Phi^J || T^\lambda || \Phi^{J'} \rangle^*,$$

since the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are real. The rhs is equivalent with

$$\langle \lambda \mu J' M' | JM \rangle \langle \Phi^J || T^\lambda || \Phi^{J'} \rangle^* = \langle \Phi_{M'}^{J'} | (T_\mu^\lambda)^\dagger | \Phi_M^J \rangle,$$

which is equal to

$$\langle \Phi_{M'}^{J'} | (T_\mu^\lambda)^\dagger | \Phi_M^J \rangle = (-1)^{-\lambda+\mu} \langle \lambda - \mu JM | J' M' \rangle \langle \Phi^{J'} || \tilde{T}^\lambda || \Phi^J \rangle.$$

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

Let us now apply the theorem to some selected expectation values. In several of the expectation values we will meet when evaluating explicit matrix elements, we will have to deal with expectation values involving spherical harmonics. A general central interaction can be expanded in a complete set of functions like the Legendre polynomials, that is, we have an interaction, with  $r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|$ ,

$$v(r_{ij}) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} v_{\nu}(r_{ij}) P_{\nu}(\cos(\theta_{ij})),$$

with  $P_{\nu}$  being a Legendre polynomials

$$P_{\nu}(\cos(\theta_{ij})) = \sum_{\mu} \frac{4\pi}{2\mu+1} Y_{\mu}^{\nu*}(\Omega_i) Y_{\mu}^{\nu}(\Omega_j).$$

We will come back later to how we split the above into a contribution that involves only one of the coordinates.

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

This means that we will need matrix elements of the type

$$\langle Y^{l'} || Y^{\lambda} || Y^l \rangle.$$

We can rewrite the Wigner-Eckart theorem as

$$\langle Y^{l'} || Y^{\lambda} || Y^l \rangle = \sum_{m\mu} \langle \lambda \mu l m | l' m' \rangle Y_{\mu}^{\lambda} Y_m^l,$$

This equation is true for all values of  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ . It must also hold for  $\theta = 0$ .

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

We have

$$\langle Y^{l'} || Y^{\lambda} || Y^l \rangle = \sum_{m\mu} \langle \lambda \mu l m | l' m' \rangle Y_{\mu}^{\lambda} Y_m^l,$$

and for  $\theta = 0$ , the spherical harmonic

$$Y_m^l(\theta = 0, \phi) = \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} \delta_{m0},$$

which results in

$$\langle Y^{l'} || Y^{\lambda} || Y^l \rangle = \left\{ \frac{(2l+1)(2\lambda+1)}{4\pi(2l'+1)} \right\}^{1/2} \langle \lambda 0 l 0 | l' 0 \rangle.$$

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

Till now we have mainly been concerned with the coupling of two angular momenta  $j_a$  and  $j_b$  to a final angular momentum  $J$ . If we wish to describe a three-body state with a final angular momentum  $J$ , we need to couple three angular momenta, say the two momenta  $j_a, j_b$  to a third one  $j_c$ . The coupling order is important and leads to a less trivial implementation of the Pauli principle. With three angular momenta there are obviously  $3!$  ways by which we can combine the angular momenta. In  $m$ -scheme a three-body Slater determinant is represented as (say for the case of  $^{19}\text{O}$ , three neutrons outside the core of  $^{16}\text{O}$ ),

$$|^{19}\text{O}\rangle = |(abc)M\rangle = a_a^\dagger a_b^\dagger a_c^\dagger |^{16}\text{O}\rangle = |\Phi^{abc}\rangle.$$

The Pauli principle is automagically implemented via the anti-commutation relations.

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

However, when we deal the same state in an angular momentum coupled basis, we need to be a little bit more careful. We can namely couple the states as follows

$$|([j_a \rightarrow j_b]J_{ab} \rightarrow j_c)J\rangle = \sum_{m_a m_b m_c} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | J_{ab} M_{ab} \rangle \langle J_{ab} M_{ab} j_c m_c | JM \rangle |j_a m_a\rangle \otimes |j_b m_b\rangle \otimes |j_c m_c\rangle,$$

that is, we couple first  $j_a$  to  $j_b$  to yield an intermediate angular momentum  $J_{ab}$ , then to  $j_c$  yielding the final angular momentum  $J$ .

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

Now, nothing hinders us from recoupling this state by coupling  $j_b$  to  $j_c$ , yielding an intermediate angular momentum  $J_{bc}$  and then couple this angular momentum to  $j_a$ , resulting in the final angular momentum  $J'$ .

That is, we can have

$$|(j_a \rightarrow [j_b \rightarrow j_c]J_{bc})J\rangle = \sum_{m'_a m'_b m'_c} \langle j_b m'_b j_c m'_c | J_{bc} M_{bc} \rangle \langle j_a m'_a J_{bc} M_{bc} | J' M' \rangle |\Phi^{abc}\rangle.$$

We will always assume that we work with orthonormal states, this means that when we compute the overlap between these two possible ways of coupling angular momenta, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (j_a \rightarrow [j_b \rightarrow j_c]J_{bc})J' M' | ([j_a \rightarrow j_b]J_{ab} \rightarrow j_c)JM \rangle &= \delta_{JJ'} \delta_{MM'} \sum_{m_a m_b m_c} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | J_{ab} M_{ab} \rangle \langle J_{ab} M_{ab} j_c m_c | JM \rangle \\ &\quad (2) \\ &\quad \times \langle j_b m_b j_c m_c | J_{bc} M_{bc} \rangle \langle j_a m_a J_{bc} M_{bc} | JM \rangle. \\ &\quad (3) \end{aligned}$$



## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

We use then the latter equation to define the so-called  $6j$ -symbols

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (j_a \rightarrow [j_b \rightarrow j_c] J_{bc}) J' M' | ([j_a \rightarrow j_b] J_{ab} \rightarrow j_c) J M \rangle &= \delta_{JJ'} \delta_{MM'} \sum_{m_a m_b m_c} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | J_{ab} M_{ab} \rangle \langle J_{ab} M_{ab} j_c m_c | J M \rangle \\ &\quad \times \langle j_b m_b j_c m_c | J_{bc} M_{bc} \rangle \langle j_a m_a J_{bc} M_{bc} | J M \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{j_a + j_b + j_c + J} \sqrt{(2J_{ab} + 1)(2J_{bc} + 1)} \begin{Bmatrix} j_a & j_b & J_{ab} \\ j_c & J & J_{bc} \end{Bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where the symbol in curly brackets is the  $6j$  symbol. A specific coupling order has to be respected in the symbol, that is, the so-called triangular relations between three angular momenta needs to be respected, that is

$$\begin{Bmatrix} x & x & x \\ & & \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} & & x \\ x & x & \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} & x & \\ x & & x \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} x & & \\ & x & x \end{Bmatrix}$$

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

The  $6j$  symbol is invariant under the permutation of any two columns

$$\begin{Bmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ j_4 & j_5 & j_6 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} j_2 & j_1 & j_3 \\ j_5 & j_4 & j_6 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} j_1 & j_3 & j_2 \\ j_4 & j_6 & j_5 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} j_3 & j_2 & j_1 \\ j_6 & j_5 & j_4 \end{Bmatrix}.$$

The  $6j$  symbol is also invariant if upper and lower arguments are interchanged in any two columns

$$\begin{Bmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ j_4 & j_5 & j_6 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} j_4 & j_5 & j_3 \\ j_1 & j_2 & j_6 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} j_1 & j_5 & j_6 \\ j_4 & j_2 & j_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} j_4 & j_2 & j_6 \\ j_1 & j_5 & j_3 \end{Bmatrix}.$$

## Testing properties of $6j$ symbols

The above properties of  $6j$  symbols can again be tested using the symbolic python package **wigner**. Let us test the invariance

$$\begin{Bmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ j_4 & j_5 & j_6 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} j_2 & j_1 & j_3 \\ j_5 & j_4 & j_6 \end{Bmatrix}.$$

The following program tests this relation for the case of  $j_1 = 3/2$ ,  $j_2 = 3/2$ ,  $j_3 = 3$ ,  $j_4 = 1/2$ ,  $j_5 = 1/2$ ,  $j_6 = 1$

```
from sympy import S
from sympy.physics.wigner import wigner_6j
# Twice the values of all js
j1 = 3
j2 = 5
j3 = 2
j4 = 3
j5 = 5
j6 = 1
""" The triangular relation has to be fulfilled """
print wigner_6j(S(j1)/2, S(j2)/2, j3, S(j4)/2, S(j5)/2, j6)
""" Swapping columns 1 <==> 2 """
print wigner_6j(S(j2)/2, S(j1)/2, j3, S(j5)/2, S(j4)/2, j6)
```

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

The  $6j$  symbols satisfy this orthogonality relation

$$\sum_{j_3} (2j_3 + 1) \begin{Bmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ j_4 & j_5 & j_6 \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ j_4 & j_5 & j'_6 \end{Bmatrix} = \frac{\delta_{j_6 j'_6}}{2j_6 + 1} \{j_1, j_5, j_6\} \{j_4, j_2, j_6\}.$$

The symbol  $\{j_1 j_2 j_3\}$  (called the triangular delta) is equal to one if the triad  $(j_1 j_2 j_3)$  satisfies the triangular conditions and zero otherwise. A useful value is given when say one of the angular momenta are zero, say  $J_{bc} = 0$ , then we have

$$\begin{Bmatrix} j_a & j_b & J_{ab} \\ j_c & J & 0 \end{Bmatrix} = \frac{(-1)^{j_a + j_b + J_{ab}} \delta_{J_{ab}} \delta_{j_c j_b}}{\sqrt{(2j_a + 1)(2j_b + 1)}}$$

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

With the  $6j$  symbol defined, we can go back and and rewrite the overlap between the two ways of recoupling angular momenta in terms of the  $6j$  symbol. That is, we can have

$$|(j_a \rightarrow [j_b \rightarrow j_c] J_{bc}) JM\rangle = \sum_{J_{ab}} (-1)^{j_a + j_b + j_c + J} \sqrt{(2J_{ab} + 1)(2J_{bc} + 1)} \begin{Bmatrix} j_a & j_b & J_{ab} \\ j_c & J & J_{bc} \end{Bmatrix} |([j_a \rightarrow j_b] J_{ab} \rightarrow j_c) JM\rangle$$

Can you find the inverse relation? These relations can in turn be used to write out the fully anti-symmetrized three-body wave function in a  $J$ -scheme coupled basis. If you opt then for a specific coupling order, say  $|([j_a \rightarrow j_b] J_{ab} \rightarrow j_c) JM\rangle$ , you need to express this representation in terms of the other coupling possibilities.

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

Note that the two-body intermediate state is assumed to be antisymmetric but not normalized, that is, the state which involves the quantum numbers  $j_a$  and  $j_b$ . Assume that the intermediate two-body state is antisymmetric. With this coupling order, we can rewrite ( in a schematic way) the general three-particle Slater determinant as

$$\Phi(a, b, c) = \mathcal{A}(|[j_a \rightarrow j_b] J_{ab} \rightarrow j_c\rangle J),$$

with an implicit sum over  $J_{ab}$ . The antisymmetrization operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is used here to indicate that we need to antisymmetrize the state. **Challenge:** Use the definition of the  $6j$  symbol and find an explicit expression for the above three-body state using the coupling order  $|([j_a \rightarrow j_b] J_{ab} \rightarrow j_c) J\rangle$ .

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

We can also coupled together four angular momenta. Consider two four-body states, with single-particle angular momenta  $j_a, j_b, j_c$  and  $j_d$  we can have a state with final  $J$

$$|\Phi(a, b, c, d)\rangle_1 = |([j_a \rightarrow j_b] J_{ab} \times [j_c \rightarrow j_d] J_{cd}) JM\rangle,$$

where we read the coupling order as  $j_a$  couples with  $j_b$  to given and intermediate angular momentum  $J_{ab}$ . Moreover,  $j_c$  couples with  $j_d$  to given and intermediate angular momentum  $J_{cd}$ . The two intermediate angular momenta  $J_{ab}$  and  $J_{cd}$  are in turn coupled to a final  $J$ . These operations involved three Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.

Alternatively, we could couple in the following order

$$|\Phi(a, b, c, d)\rangle_2 = |([j_a \rightarrow j_c]J_{ac} \times [j_b \rightarrow j_d]J_{bd})JM\rangle,$$

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

The overlap between these two states

$$\langle ([j_a \rightarrow j_c]J_{ac} \times [j_b \rightarrow j_d]J_{bd})JM | ([j_a \rightarrow j_b]J_{ab} \times [j_c \rightarrow j_d]J_{cd})JM \rangle,$$

is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m_i M_{ij}} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | J_{ab} M_{ab} \rangle \langle j_c m_c j_d m_d | J_{cd} M_{cd} \rangle \langle J_{ab} M_{ab} J_{cd} M_{cd} | JM \rangle \\ & \times \langle j_a m_a j_c m_c | J_{ac} M_{ac} \rangle \langle j_b m_b j_d m_d | J_{bd} M_{bd} \rangle \langle J_{ac} M_{ac} J_{bd} M_{bd} | JM \rangle \quad (5) \\ & = \sqrt{(2J_{ab} + 1)(2J_{cd} + 1)(2J_{ac} + 1)(2J_{bd} + 1)} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_a & j_b & J_{ab} \\ j_c & j_d & J_{cd} \\ J_{ac} & J_{bd} & J \end{array} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

with the symbol in curly brackets  $\{ \}$  being the  $9j$ -symbol. We see that a  $6j$  symbol involves four Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, while the  $9j$  symbol involves six.

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

A  $9j$  symbol is invariant under reflection in either diagonal

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ j_4 & j_5 & j_6 \\ j_7 & j_8 & j_9 \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_1 & j_4 & j_7 \\ j_2 & j_5 & j_8 \\ j_3 & j_6 & j_9 \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_9 & j_6 & j_3 \\ j_8 & j_5 & j_2 \\ j_7 & j_4 & j_1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

The permutation of any two rows or any two columns yields a phase factor  $(-1)^S$ , where

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^9 j_i.$$

As an example we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ j_4 & j_5 & j_6 \\ j_7 & j_8 & j_9 \end{array} \right\} = (-1)^S \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_4 & j_5 & j_6 \\ j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ j_7 & j_8 & j_9 \end{array} \right\} = (-1)^S \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_2 & j_1 & j_3 \\ j_5 & j_4 & j_6 \\ j_8 & j_7 & j_9 \end{array} \right\}.$$

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

A useful case is when say  $J = 0$  in

$$\begin{Bmatrix} j_a & j_b & J_{ab} \\ j_c & j_d & J_{cd} \\ J_{ac} & J_{bd} & 0 \end{Bmatrix} = \frac{\delta_{J_{ab}J_{cd}}\delta_{J_{ac}J_{bd}}}{\sqrt{(2J_{ab}+1)(2J_{ac}+1)}} (-1)^{j_b+J_{ab}+j_c+J_{ac}} \begin{Bmatrix} j_a & j_b & J_{ab} \\ j_d & j_c & J_{ac} \end{Bmatrix}.$$

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

The tensor operator in the nucleon-nucleon potential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle lS J | S_{12} | l' S' J \rangle &= (-1)^{S+J} \sqrt{30(2l+1)(2l'+1)(2S+1)(2S'+1)} \\ &\times \begin{Bmatrix} J & S' & l' \\ 2 & l & S \end{Bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l' & 2 & l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & S \\ s_3 & s_4 & S' \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{Bmatrix} \\ &\times \langle s_1 || \sigma_1 || s_3 \rangle \langle s_2 || \sigma_2 || s_4 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and it is zero for the  $^1S_0$  wave.

How do we get here?

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

To derive the expectation value of the nuclear tensor force, we recall that the product of two irreducible tensor operators is

$$W_{m_r}^r = \sum_{m_p m_q} \langle p m_p q m_q | r m_r \rangle T_{m_p}^p U_{m_q}^q,$$

and using the orthogonality properties of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients we can rewrite the above as

$$T_{m_p}^p U_{m_q}^q = \sum_{m_r} \langle p m_p q m_q | r m_r \rangle W_{m_r}^r.$$

Assume now that the operators  $T$  and  $U$  act on different parts of say a wave function. The operator  $T$  could act on the spatial part only while the operator  $U$  acts only on the spin part. This means also that these operators commute. The reduced matrix element of this operator is thus, using the Wigner-Eckart theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (j_a j_b) J || W^r || (j_c j_d) J' \rangle &\equiv \sum_{M, m_r, M'} (-1)^{J-M} \begin{pmatrix} J & r & J' \\ -M & m_r & M' \end{pmatrix} \\ &\times \langle (j_a j_b) J M | \left[ T_{m_p}^p U_{m_q}^q \right]_{m_r}^r | (j_c j_d) J' M' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

Starting with

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (j_a j_b) J || W^r || (j_c j_d) J' \rangle &\equiv \sum_{M, m_r, M'} (-1)^{J-M} \begin{pmatrix} J & r & J' \\ -M & m_r & M' \end{pmatrix} \\ &\times \langle (j_a j_b) J M | \left[ T_{m_p}^p U_{m_q}^q \right]_{m_r}^r | (j_c j_d) J' M' \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we assume now that  $T$  acts only on  $j_a$  and  $j_c$  and that  $U$  acts only on  $j_b$  and  $j_d$ . The matrix element  $\langle (j_a j_b) J M | \left[ T_{m_p}^p U_{m_q}^q \right]_{m_r}^r | (j_c j_d) J' M' \rangle$  can be written out, when we insert a complete set of states  $|j_i m_i j_j m_j\rangle \langle j_i m_i j_j m_j|$  between  $T$  and  $U$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (j_a j_b) J M | \left[ T_{m_p}^p U_{m_q}^q \right]_{m_r}^r | (j_c j_d) J' M' \rangle &= \sum_{m_i} \langle p m_p q m_q | r m_r \rangle \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | J M \rangle \langle j_c m_c j_d m_d | J' M' \rangle \\ &\times \langle (j_a m_a j_b m_b) | \left[ T_{m_p}^p \right]_{m_r}^r | (j_c m_c j_b m_b) \rangle \langle (j_c m_c j_b m_b) | \left[ U_{m_q}^q \right]_{m_r}^r | (j_c m_c j_d m_d) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The complete set of states that was inserted between  $T$  and  $U$  reduces to  $|j_c m_c j_b m_b\rangle \langle j_c m_c j_b m_b|$  due to orthogonality of the states.

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

Combining the last two equations from the previous slide and applying the Wigner-Eckart theorem, we arrive at (rearranging phase factors)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (j_a j_b) J || W^r || (j_c j_d) J' \rangle &= \sqrt{(2J+1)(2r+1)(2J'+1)} \sum_{m_i M, M'} \begin{pmatrix} J & r & J' \\ -M & m_r & M' \end{pmatrix} \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} j_a & j_b & J \\ m_a & m_b & -M \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_c & j_d & J' \\ -m_c & -m_d & M' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p & q & r \\ -m_p & -m_q & m_r \end{pmatrix} \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} j_a & j_c & p \\ m_a & -m_c & -m_p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_b & j_d & q \\ m_b & -m_d & -m_q \end{pmatrix} \langle j_a || T^p || j_c \rangle \times \langle j_b || U^q || j_d \rangle \end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten in terms of a  $9j$  symbol as

$$\langle (j_a j_b) J || W^r || (j_c j_d) J' \rangle = \sqrt{(2J+1)(2r+1)(2J'+1)} \langle j_a || T^p || j_c \rangle \langle j_b || U^q || j_d \rangle \left\{ \begin{matrix} j_a & j_b & J \\ j_c & j_d & J' \\ p & q & r \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

## Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

From this expression we can in turn compute for example the spin-spin operator of the tensor force.

In case  $r = 0$ , that is we two tensor operators coupled to a scalar, we can use (with  $p = q$ )

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_a & j_b & J \\ j_c & j_d & J' \\ p & p & 0 \end{array} \right\} = \frac{\delta_{JJ'} \delta_{pq}}{\sqrt{(2J+1)(2J+1)}} (-1)^{j_b+j_c+2J} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_a & j_b & J \\ j_d & j_c & p \end{array} \right\},$$

and obtain

$$\langle (j_a j_b) J || W^0 || (j_c j_d) J' \rangle = (-1)^{j_b+j_c+2J} \langle j_a || T^p || j_c \rangle \langle j_b || U^p || j_d \rangle \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_a & j_b & J \\ j_d & j_c & p \end{array} \right\}.$$

### Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

Another very useful expression is the case where the operators act in just one space. We state here without showing that the reduced matrix element

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j_a || W^r || j_b \rangle &= \langle j_a || [T^p \times T^q]^r || j_b \rangle = (-1)^{j_a+j_b+r} \sqrt{2r+1} \sum_{j_c} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_b & j_a & r \\ p & q & j_c \end{array} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \langle j_a || T^p || j_c \rangle \langle j_c || T^q || j_b \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

### Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

The tensor operator in the nucleon-nucleon potential can be written as

$$V = \frac{3}{r^2} \left[ [\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2]^{(2)} \otimes [\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}]^{(2)} \right]_0^{(0)}$$

Since the irreducible tensor  $[\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}]^{(2)}$  operates only on the angular quantum numbers and  $[\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2]^{(2)}$  operates only on the spin states we can write the matrix element

$$\begin{aligned} \langle l S J | V | l S J \rangle &= \langle l S J | \left[ [\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2]^{(2)} \otimes [\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}]^{(2)} \right]_0^{(0)} | l' S' J \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{J+l+S} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} l & S & J \\ l' & S' & 2 \end{array} \right\} \langle l || [\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}]^{(2)} || l' \rangle \\ &\quad \times \langle S || [\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2]^{(2)} || S' \rangle \end{aligned}$$

### Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

We need that the coordinate vector  $\mathbf{r}$  can be written in terms of spherical components as

$$\mathbf{r}_\alpha = r \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}} Y_{1\alpha}$$

Using this expression we get

$$[\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}]_\mu^{(2)} = \frac{4\pi}{3} r^2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \langle 1\alpha 1\beta | 2\mu \rangle Y_{1\alpha} Y_{1\beta}$$

### Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

The product of two spherical harmonics can be written as

$$Y_{l_1 m_1} Y_{l_2 m_2} = \sum_{lm} \sqrt{\frac{(2l_1+1)(2l_2+1)(2l+1)}{4\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 & l \\ m_1 & m_2 & m \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 & l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Y_{l-m} (-1)^m.$$

### Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

Using this relation we get

$$[\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}]_{\mu}^{(2)} = \sqrt{4\pi} r^2 \sum_{lm} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \langle 1\alpha 1\beta | 2\mu \rangle \\ \times \langle 1\alpha 1\beta | l-m \rangle \frac{(-1)^{1-1-m}}{\sqrt{2l+1}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Y_{l-m} (-1)^m \\ = \sqrt{4\pi} r^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Y_{2-\mu} \\ = \sqrt{4\pi} r^2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{15}} Y_{2-\mu}$$

### Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

We can then use this relation to rewrite the reduced matrix element containing the position vector as

$$\langle l || [\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}]^{(2)} || l' \rangle = \sqrt{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{15}} r^2 \langle l || Y_2 || l' \rangle \\ = \sqrt{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{15}} r^2 (-1)^l \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)5(2l'+1)}{4\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} l & 2 & l' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

### Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

Using the reduced matrix element of the spin operators defined as

$$\langle S || [\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2]^{(2)} || S' \rangle = \sqrt{(2S+1)(2S'+1)5} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & S \\ s_3 & s_4 & S' \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \langle s_1 || \sigma_1 || s_3 \rangle \langle s_2 || \sigma_2 || s_4 \rangle$$

and inserting these expressions for the two reduced matrix elements we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle lS J | V | l' S' J \rangle = & (-1)^{S+J} \sqrt{30(2l+1)(2l'+1)(2S+1)(2S'+1)} \\ & \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} l & S & J \\ l' & S & 2 \end{array} \right\} \begin{pmatrix} l & 2 & l' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & S \\ s_3 & s_4 & S' \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{Bmatrix} \\ & \times \langle s_1 || \sigma_1 || s_3 \rangle \langle s_2 || \sigma_2 || s_4 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

### Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

Normally, we start with a nucleon-nucleon interaction fitted to reproduce scattering data. It is common then to represent this interaction in terms relative momenta  $k$ , the center-of-mass momentum  $K$  and various partial wave quantum numbers like the spin  $S$ , the total relative angular momentum  $\mathcal{J}$ , isospin  $T$  and relative orbital momentum  $l$  and finally the corresponding center-of-mass  $L$ . We can then write the free interaction matrix  $V$  as

$$\langle k K l L \mathcal{J} S T | \hat{V} | k' K' l' L' \mathcal{J} S' T \rangle.$$

Transformations from the relative and center-of-mass motion system to the lab system will be discussed below.

### Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

To obtain a  $V$ -matrix in a h.o. basis, we need the transformation

$$\langle n N l L \mathcal{J} S T | \hat{V} | n' N' l' L' \mathcal{J} S' T \rangle,$$

with  $n$  and  $N$  the principal quantum numbers of the relative and center-of-mass motion, respectively.

$$|n N l L \mathcal{J} S T \rangle = \int k^2 K^2 dk dK R_{nl}(\sqrt{2}\alpha k) R_{NL}(\sqrt{1/2}\alpha K) |klKL\mathcal{J}ST\rangle.$$

The parameter  $\alpha$  is the chosen oscillator length.

### Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

The most commonly employed sp basis is the harmonic oscillator, which in turn means that a two-particle wave function with total angular momentum  $J$  and isospin  $T$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} |(n_a l_a j_a)(n_b l_b j_b) J T \rangle = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 + \delta_{12})}} \sum_{\lambda S \mathcal{J}} \sum_{n N l L} F \times \langle ab | \lambda S J \rangle \\ & \times (-1)^{\lambda + \mathcal{J} - L - S} \hat{\lambda} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} L & l & \lambda \\ S & J & \mathcal{J} \end{array} \right\} \\ & \times \langle n l N L | n_a l_a n_b l_b \rangle |n l N L \mathcal{J} S T \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the term  $\langle n l N L | n_a l_a n_b l_b \rangle$  is the so-called Moshinsky-Talmi transformation coefficient (see chapter 18 of Alex Brown's notes).



### Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

The term  $\langle ab|LSJ\rangle$  is a shorthand for the  $LS - jj$  transformation coefficient,

$$\langle ab|\lambda SJ\rangle = \hat{j}_a \hat{j}_b \hat{\lambda} \hat{S} \begin{Bmatrix} l_a & s_a & j_a \\ l_b & s_b & j_b \\ \lambda & S & J \end{Bmatrix}.$$

Here we use  $\hat{x} = \sqrt{2x+1}$ . The factor  $F$  is defined as  $F = \frac{1-(-1)^{l+s+t}}{\sqrt{2}}$  if  $s_a = s_b$  and we .

### Angular momentum algebra, Wigner-Eckart theorem

The  $\hat{V}$ -matrix in terms of harmonic oscillator wave functions reads

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (ab)JT|\hat{V}|(cd)JT\rangle &= \sum_{\lambda\lambda'SS'\mathcal{J}} \sum_{nl n'l'NN'L} \frac{(1-(-1)^{l+s+t})}{\sqrt{(1+\delta_{ab})(1+\delta_{cd})}} \\ &\times \langle ab|\lambda SJ\rangle \langle cd|\lambda'S'J\rangle \langle nlNL|n_a l_a n_b l_b \lambda\rangle \langle n'l'NL|n_c l_c n_d l_d \lambda'\rangle \\ &\times \hat{\mathcal{J}}(-1)^{\lambda+\lambda'+l+l'} \begin{Bmatrix} L & l & \lambda \\ S & J & \mathcal{J} \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} L & l' & \lambda' \\ S & J & \mathcal{J} \end{Bmatrix} \\ &\times \langle nNLJST|\hat{V}|n'N'l'L'\mathcal{J}S'T\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The label  $a$  represents here all the single particle quantum numbers  $n_a l_a j_a$ .